

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/665,573	09/22/2003	Delphine Nwoko	0079-PA-CIP	6451
7590 03/16/2006			EXAMINER	
Crompton Corporation			YOON, TAE H	
Benson Road Middlebury, CT 06749			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1714	
		DATE MAILED: 03/16/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/665,573	NWOKO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tae H. Yoon	1714				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		•				
·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
·-	, -					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>11-24</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· ·					
6)⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected.	·_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	· · · 					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority						
application from the International Bureau	=	su in this National Stage				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.				
	•					
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-192)				

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10, drawn to a composition having anthraquinone or pyrazalone, classified in class 524, subclass 523.
- II. Claims 11-19, drawn to a composition having particular anthraquinone or pyrazalone, classified in class 526, subclass 227+.
- III. Claims 20-24, drawn to 523, classified in class 523, subclass 500+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II (and III) are related as combination and subcombination.

Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because particular derivatives of anthraquinone or pyrazalone of Group II (and III) is not required in Group II. The subcombination has separate utility such as molding compositions.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,573

Art Unit: 1714

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Dilworth on February 3, 2006 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-10. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 11-25 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 and 6-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The recited "derivatives" in claims 1 and 6 is indefinite absent particular functional groups or substituents.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/665,573

Art Unit: 1714

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Brannon (US 5,326,516 or 5,443,775).

Both patents are similar and the examiner points out US'516.

Brannon'516 teaches compositions comprising saturated or unsaturated polyesters and a peroxide at col. 16, lines 3-24. Polyesters (col. 4, lines 28-31), anthraquinone and pyrazalone dyes (col. 7, lines 9-40) and unsaturated polyesters (col. 12, lines 6-29) are taught.

Thus, the instant invention lacks novelty.

Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Brannon (US 5,326,516 or 5,443,775) in view of Ito (US 5,693,126) and JP 59120612.

The instant invention further recites other dyes over Brannon. However, the instant dyes are well known in the art as taught by Ito (col. 4, line 62, Solvent Blue 14). JP teaches the use of a premix of a peroxide and azo dye in abstract.

Art Unit: 1714

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at he time of invention to utilize the art well known dyes of Ito in Brannon since Brannon teaches employing anthraquinone and pyrazalone dyes absent showing otherwise. Also, the use of a premixture of said dye and peroxide for the unsaturated polyesters would be a obvious practice since the use of a premix composition is a routine practice in the art as evidenced by JP.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tae H. Yoon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1128. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tae H Yoon/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

THY/March 14, 2006